

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PARTICLE OF PATENTS
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/890,419	10/29/2001	Mario Mazza	BFE-5407US	1942	
29200 7.	590 02/27/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORPORATION			MENON, KRISHNAN S		
RENAL DIVISION I BAXTER PARKWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DF3-3E			1723		
DEERFIELD, IL 60015		DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_
• . •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	09/890,419	MAZZA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Krishnan S Menon	1723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr tle, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) □ Claim(s) 1-7 and 16-25 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-7 and 16-25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the second second and the second se	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sometion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applic Ints documents have been rece Ints documents have been rece Ints documents have been rece	ation No ived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date Detect and Trademark Office.	6) Other:	Tracent Application (1 10-102)	

Application/Control Number: 09/890,419

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-7 and 16-25 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 16-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jonsson et al (US 4,784,495) in view of Segers et al (US 5,383,324).

Jonsson teaches a cartridge (figure 4 and 7) as in claim 23, having inlet and outlet and containing sodium bicarbonate in solid form for hemodialysis as in claim 1, and a machine (see fig 5,6,8) comprising such a cartridge as in claim 16 (see abstract, col 3 lines 60-65; col 5 lines 1-18, col 11 lines 42-67).

Jonsson does not teach the powder in the cartridge as containing an acid with the bicarbonate. Segers teaches a cartridge having bicarbonate and an acid in an amount sufficient to prevent pH increase (abstract, col 5 lines 5-50, Fig 1). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the teaching of Segers in the teaching of Jonsson to stabilize the bicarbonate as taught by Segers (col 2 line 15-col 3 line 30)

Re claims 5 and 20, both references do not teach tartaric acid. However, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that tartaric

Application/Control Number: 09/890,419

Art Unit: 1723

acid is a carboxylic acid, equivalent to citric acid and others as taught by Segers (col 5 lines 35-41). (Similar species usually have similar properties. See, e.g., Dillon, 919 F.2d at 693, 696, 16 USPQ2d at 1901, 1904. See also Deuel, 51 F.3d at 1558, 34 USPQ2d at 1214).

Limitations in the rest of the instant claims are taught by Jonsson and/or Segers including the composition of acid in the bicarbonate. Re the proportion of acid and bicarbonate in claims 6,7,21-23 and 25, see col 14 of Jonsson.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to instant claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

This action is made non final because of the new grounds for rejection (the primary and secondary references were reversed from the previous action).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krishnan S Menon whose telephone number is 571-272-1143. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,419 Page 4

Art Unit: 1723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Krishnan Menon Patent Examiner

W. L. WALKER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700